# **Composite Evaluation of Customer Satisfaction**

### Dagmar Lesakova

University of Economics in Bratislava lesakova@euba.sk

The aim of our paper is to identify attributes and elements of customer satisfaction that individually and collectively influence seniors' evaluation of and satisfaction with retail stores and the consequent contribution towards repeat purchase behavior. Customer satisfaction per se refers to a whole set of particular attributes. We argue that any single attribute of customer satisfaction (for example low prices), does not necessarily ensure satisfaction with the store. From marketing point of view, customer satisfaction indicates consistently doing something valueable for customers in the way meeting their expectations. This could influence consumer's attitudes during their subsequent decisions and enhance repeat purchase behaviour and positive word-of-mouth communication.

In our research contribution of 21 individual attributes towards overall shopping satisfaction in seniors' segment was analysed based on the empirical research in seniors' population. All 21 attributes have been assigned to 6 broader marketing mix elements. To explain the complexity in satisfaction judgments and to arrive at limited number of explanatory variables, factor analysis was applied to the empirical data. Factor analysis identified three complex factors of customer satisfaction that are significant in seniors' satisfaction with the chosen store. They are: convenience of shopping, value for money and store image and they collectivelly indicate the inter-relatedness of individual satisfaction attributes and explain the composite character and complexity of the term "satisfaction". The findings confirm that participants tend to compromise among individual attributes in favour of an "overall shopping experience", which reinforces the belief that seniors' rating of individual satisfaction. The research in this paper was conducted within the Research project VEGA 1/0224/15.

Key words: satisfaction, seniors, store evaluation, shopping experience.

JEL classification: M20.

### 1. Introduction

Development of customer satisfaction as a prerequisit of survival in a competitive market has been discussed frequently in recent years (Nielsen, 2002; Seybold, 2001). Satisfying the customers is an essential goal of the whole business. Companies satisfying their customers achieve better results, while those with dissatisfied customers, fail. These findings are valid across consumer goods, services and store selection (Giese & Cote, 2000).

In our paper we raise two research questions: 1) what are the attributes and elements of customer satisfaction that individually and collectively influence seniors' evaluation of and satisfaction with retail store, and 2) what is the consequent contribution of those attributes / elements towards repeat purchase behaviour.

Customers evaluate shopping experiences and arrive at "satisfaction judgments" by comparing what they really received with their expectations (Schiffman & Kanuk, 2010). This framework has been tested many times: customers form judgements of satisfaction by comparing what they get to what they expected (Payne, 2008). Research has confirmed that satisfaction is an important variable driving positive word of mouth and loyalty. Loyalty as a tendency of customers to stay with a store or a brand, is closely related to satisfaction and finally to store profitability. The dissatisfaction of consumers can result in negative word of mouth and lost sales. Building satisfaction is especially important in the retail sector (Hayley & Lumbers, 2008).

Retailers develop their business in a highly competitive environment, where competitors are located in a small distance of one another, knowing that if they do not satisfy customers wants properly, these customers will very quickly find another store that fulfills their expectations (Oates, Shufeldt & Vaught, 1996). Therefore the constant feedback from seniors' attitudes towards the stores where they conduct their purchasing is vital for retailers survival (Moschis, 2003).

In order to remain competitive and growing, marketers need to satisfy their customers. It has been documented that customer satisfaction positively influences repurchase rate and loyalty (Buttle, 2004;

Huddleston, Whipple, Mattick & Lee, 2009). Cheng et al. (2011) argue that satisfying customers is more important than short term profit goals, because as long as customers are satisfied, the business has a perspective of profit for the future.

Several authors (Goodwin & McElwee, 1999; Lambert, 1979; Lesakova, 2013) define customer satisfaction as the ability of an organisation to provide a service performance that exceeds the customer expectations. Deng, Lu, Wei and Zhang (2010) identified two types of satisfaction: the transaction specific satisfaction and the general satisfaction. While the transaction satisfaction refers to satisfaction after completing the purchase act, the general satisfaction describes the consumers' overall rating. In order to satisfy consumers, retailers need to understand the particular attributes of satisfaction, because customers can derive satisfaction from diverse satisfaction elements (Peterson & Wilson, 1992).

Cronin, Brady and Hult (2000) suggest that any evaluation of customer satisfaction that takes into account only individual attributes, is not complete. A set of attributes provides a complex tool for explaining the interrelated aspects of customer satisfaction in a store in terms of the whole – total experience of satisfaction with a particular store.

# 2. Literature review

The elements of customer satisfaction are represented by the physical, human and operational resources / attributes that affect customers' perception of the retail store and that may patronise a specific store (Thang & Tan, 2003).

These elements include 6 categories:

*Products – Element E1.* Following attributes within the core element of product serve as an attraction to the store: product quality, freshness of products, large product variety, practical packaging. Packaging influences the decision-making process in the purchase, because it determines product image, communicates product information, protects the product, determines shelf life (Lumpkin, Greenberg & Goldstucker, 1985).

*Price – Element E2.* Price is one of the most important aspects in satisfaction development in older people segment (Levy & Weitz, 2001). While price is often used to attract consumers, affordability (lower / reasonable prices) in conjunction with quality, contribute to consumers' perception of service value (Cronin et al., 2000).

*Promotion – Element E3.* Marketing communication with the aim of advertising store offerings and boosting store image belongs to distinctive elements enhancing store attraction (Shiffman & Kanuk, 2001).

Sales personnel – Element E4. Employees with the courtesy, empathy, experience and knowledge, with a customer oriented approach, are frequently cited to be critical in encouraging positive word-of-mouth communication (Clopton, Stoddard & Clay, 2001).

*Store environment (Place) – Element E5.* The store environment could contribute to customer satisfaction with such attributes as: well organised store design / layout, covenience of store location, comfortable and clean trolleys, pleasant environment, store cleanless and practical shelf layout (Levy & Weitz, 2002).

*Processes* and services – *Element E6.* Customer satisfaction with retail stores involves various additional services such as complaint handling, internet access, free parking places, etc., that contribute to consumers' perception of the store as an business with commitment. This may even lead to patronising a store that is not the cheapest, the nearest, or the most impressive.

| Satisfaction elements | Attributes of customer satisfaction  |  |  |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Product - E1          | E11 - Freshness of products<br>E12 - High quality products<br>E13 - Large product variety<br>E14 - Practical packaging |  |  |
| Price – E2            | E21 - Lower price, cheaper products<br>E22 - Frequent discounts / bargains in store<br>E23 - Products affordability    |  |  |
| Promotion – E3        | E31 - Regular promotions / demonstrations  |  |  |
| 169                   |  |  |  |

| www.mbd.ase.ro/journal          |   |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|
|                                 | E32 - Regular advertising   |  |  |
| Personnel – E4                  | E41 - Friendly staff with commitment<br>E42 - Knowledgeable and professional staff<br>E43 - Efficient staff assistance<br>E44 - Staff uniform   |  |  |
| Physical Store Environment - E5 | <ul> <li>E51 - Convenient store location</li> <li>E52 - Comfortable and clean trolleys</li> <li>E53 - Pleasant environment</li> <li>E54 - Store with a fresh appearance</li> <li>E55 - Well-organised store design / layout</li> <li>E56 - Store cleanless</li> <li>E57 - Practical shelf layout</li> </ul> |  |  |
| Processes and services – E6     | <ul> <li>E61 - Short queues at cashiers</li> <li>E62 - Packaging services</li> <li>E63 - Internet access</li> <li>E64 - Free parking places</li> </ul>  |  |  |

Table 1. Attributes of customer satisfactionSource: own composition

Evaluation of customer satisfaction (e.g. as superior) may not necessary be based on individual attributes that are considered in isolation (e.g. low prices that could make an impression of superior satisfaction). A complex picture of satisfaction is derived from the collective contribution of the several elements and attributes of customer satisfaction Although consumers obviously express their views and comments on individual attributes of satisfaction, the collective contribution of these attributes determines the interpretation of customer satisfaction.

Consumers' evaluation of satisfaction (e.g. as excellent) may be the result of some special attributes, like a combination of affordability (lower prices) and broad sortiment, at the cost of store design and layout.

Consumers value all attributes of their satisfaction with the aim to achieve a final "score" for each visited store. The preferred store will be the store with the highest total score. Two stores may be rated as acceptable, although individual "scores" for satisfaction attributes might differ significantly. In some cases even a store with a high score will not be attractive to customers, if the most important attributes (high price, poor design) are unacceptable. If a customer is satisfied with the overall purchase experience, the probability of repeat purchase will increase.

### 3. Methods and goals

The main goal of this paper is to identify attributes and elements of customer satisfaction that individually and collectively influence seniors' evaluation of and satisfaction with retail stores and the consequent contribution towards repeat purchase behavior.

The research method integrates a qualitative technique (focus group discussions) with a quantitative approach (survey, implementing a structured questionnaire) to enhance the validity and reliability of data. Focus group of 8 participants was used to discuss and settle the construction of the scales and the content of the questionnaire.

The final version of questionnaire was distributed among 365 respondents (seniors aged 65+) and consisted of 2 parts. The core part of the questionnaire was respondents' judgement of the importance of customer satisfaction attributes. On a five-point scale respondents weighted 21 attributes (belonging to six elements of satisfaction, discussed in previous text). They responded to the question: "How important is the attribute X in perceiving your satisfaction with the store"?

Second part of the questionnaire was aimed on performance rating of individual satisfaction attributes in customers' real life. On a five-point scale participants rated their satisfaction with individual attributes in that retail store, where they mostly purchase their grocery products. Finally, mean and standard deviation were calculated for each attribute both for satisfaction importance and satisfaction performance.

|               | www.mbd.ase.ro/journal                 |                            |           |                             |         |
|---------------|--|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Attr.<br>code | Satisfaction attributes                | Satisfaction<br>importance |           | Satisfaction<br>performance |         |
|               |  | Mean                       | St.Dev.   | Mean                        | St.Dev. |
|               | Product - E1                           |                            |           |                             |         |
| E11           | Freshness of products                  | 4,61                       | 0,28      | 4,08                        | 0,92    |
| E12           | High quality products                  | 4,63                       | 0,25      | 4,12                        | 0,78    |
| E13           | Large product variety                  | 3,94                       | 1,02      | 3,81                        | 0,55    |
| E14           | Practical packaging                    | 3,41                       | 1,54      | 3,94                        | 0,78    |
|               | Price – E2                             |                            |           |                             |         |
| E21           | Lower prices, cheaper products         | 4,69                       | 0,14      | 3,72                        | 0,26    |
| E22           | Frequent discounts / bargains in store | 4,03                       | 0,42      | 3,44                        | 0,42    |
| E23           | Products affordability                 | 4,52                       | 0,26      | 3,98                        | 0,89    |
|               | Promotion – E3                         |                            |           |                             |         |
| E31           | Regular promotions / demonstrations    | 2,96                       | 1,08      | 2,89                        | 1,21    |
| E32           | Regular advertising                    | 2,54                       | 1,12      | 3,08                        | 1,09    |
|               | Personnel –E4                          |                            |           |                             |         |
| E41           | Friendly staff with commitment         | 4,00                       | 0,32      | 3,46                        | 0,88    |
| E42           | Knowledgeable and professional staff   | 4,38                       | 0,21      | 3,94                        | 1,02    |
| E43           | Efficient staff assistance             | 4,44                       | 0,20      | 3,98                        | 1,18    |
| E44           | Staff uniform                          | 1,18                       | 0,31      | 3,98                        | 0,56    |
|               | Physical Store Environment –E5         |                            |           |                             |         |
| E51           | Convenient store location              | 4,00                       | 0,50      | 4,18                        | 0,61    |
| E52           | Comfortable and clean trolleys         | 3,98                       | 0,88      | 4,02                        | 0,38    |
| E53           | Pleasant environment                   | 3,92                       | 3,92 0,65 |                             | 0,92    |
| E54           | Store with a fresh appearance          | 3,72                       | 1,06      | 3,68                        | 0,80    |
| E55           | Well-organised store design / layout   | 4,02                       | 0,68      | 4,05                        | 0,54    |
| E56           | Store cleanless                        | 4,38                       | 0,35      | 4,28                        | 0,58    |
| E57           | Practical shelf layout                 | 4,30                       | 0,24      | 4,11                        | 0,72    |
|               | Processes and services – E6            |                            |           |                             |         |
| E61           | Short queues at cashiers               | 4,25                       | 0,55      | 4,14                        | 0,72    |
| E63           | Internet access                        | 2,15                       | 1,41      | 4,03                        | 0,22    |
| E64           | Free parking places                    | 3,01                       | 1,12      | 3,50                        | 1,08    |

| TTATAT M | hd  | 000   | rol | Inurnal                                 |  |
|----------|-----|-------|-----|---|--|
| www.m    |     | 1 455 | 10/ | TOULLIA                                 |  |
|          | ~ ~ |       |     | 100000000000000000000000000000000000000 |  |

Table 2. Importance and performance levels of satisfactionSource: own calculation

### 4. Findings

Participants' rating of the importance of a list of individual attributes reveals that:

- price, quality and freshness of products, knowledgeable staff, staff assistance and cleanliness of the store are required as very important and important by more than 90 % of the respondents;

- store location, short queues at the cash and practical shelf layout are valued by more than 80 % of respondents; while

- frequent discounts, products affordability and pleasant environment is required by more than 70 % of respondents.

Less than half of respondents demanded as very important and important: free parking places, staff uniforms, regular advertising and promotion and practical packaging. Surprisingly to our expectations, product variety and broad sortiment seems to be not very important in shopping decisions.

An analysis of the specific elements of customer satisfaction reveals that attributes that are considered as very important and important (on a scale 1-5) by more than two-thirds of the participants are:

1) place-related: store design / layout, store cleanliness, store location, good access to the store;

2) product-related: high quality products and freshness of products;

3) personnel-related: knowledgeable, friendly and efficient staff; and

4) process-related: minimum waiting time at cashiers and clean trolleys.

In-store demonstrations, staff uniforms or internet access were considered least important.

Performance rating of individual attributes of customer satisfaction with the particular store reveals that more than 75 % of the participants were satisfied or highly satisfied with the performance of the attributes that were: 1) place-related: convenience of store location (90, 3 %); in-store design / layout (85,3

%); cleanliness of store (85,2 %); and 2) product-related: quality of products (85,0 %) and freshness of products (83,9 %). Participants were mostly dissatisfied with price-related aspects and staff in particular. A significant percentage indicates that friendly approach and politeness are often disappointing.

To identify attributes and factors that are critical in terms of their contribution towards the complex customer satisfaction, factor analysis was used. Factor analysis suggested three main factors, which were then used for varimax rotation. An attribute loaded on a specific factor, when the loading was 0,3 or greater for that factor. Nine items loaded on the first factor, four on the second and six on the third factor. Table 3, Table 4 and Table 5 reveal attributes and corresponding factor loadings.

The factors were named with regard to their distinct attributes. The first factor, convenience of shopping, contains 9 attributes that can be associated with comfortable shopping and minimum frustration. The second factor, indicating value for money, consists of four attributes that have relevance to the financial site of the shopping and communication of the value-for-money aspect. The third factor, store image, contains six attributes related to the quality of the products, quality of the store and quality of the staff.

Instead of 21 partial attributes and 6 elements of customer satisfaction discussed earlier in the paper, only these three factors were identified as crucial in terms of satisfaction judgment. Table 3, Table 4 and Table 5 present the factor loadings.

| Customer satisfaction | Attributes relevant to factor 1<br>Convenience of shopping | Factor loadings |
|-----------------------|--|-----------------|
| code                  |  |                 |
| E13                   | Comfortable and clean trolleys                             | 0,352           |
| E14                   | Practical packaging  | 0,308           |
| E21                   | Convenient store location                                  | 0,498           |
| E22                   | Practical shelf layout                                     | 0,412           |
| E24                   | Well-organised store design / layout                       | 0,374           |
| E25                   | Store cleanless  | 0,346           |
| E26                   | Pleasant environment                                       | 0,344           |
| E61                   | Short queues at cashiers                                   | 0,398           |
| E63                   | Large product variety                                      | 0,311           |
| Percentage of         |  |                 |
| variance (in %)       |  | 24,41           |

Table 3. Factor 1 and relevant attributes – Convenience of shoppingSource: own calculation

| Customer<br>satisfaction<br>code | Attributes relevant to factor 2<br>Value for money | Factor loadings |
|----------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| E31                              | Lower price, cheaper products                      | 0,883           |
| E32                              | Frequent discounts / bargains in store             | 0,690           |
| E41                              | Regular promotions / demonstrations                | 0,421           |
| E42                              | Regular advertising                                | 0,368           |
| Percentage of                    |  |                 |
| variance (in %)                  |  | 21,95           |

*Table 4. Factor 2 and relevant attributes – Value for money* Source: own calculation

| Customer<br>satisfaction<br>code | Attributes relevant to factor 3<br>Store image | Factor loadings |
|----------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| E12                              | High quality products                          | 0,399           |
| E23                              | Pleasant store atmosphere                      | 0,379           |
| E11                              | Freshness of products                          | 0,341           |
| E27                              | Store with a fresh appearance                  | 0,311           |
| E52                              | Knowledgeable and professional staff           | 0,545           |
| E53                              | Efficient staff assistance                     | 0,612           |
| Percentage of<br>variance (in %) |  | 13,02           |

*Table 5. Factor 3 and relevant attributes – Store image* Source: own calculation

Linear regression and calculation of Pearson correlation coefficients were used to determine whether the three identified factors of customer satisfaction can be used to predict satisfaction in general. Significant correlation is found between factor 1 (convenience of shopping) and customer satisfaction, as well as between factor 2 (value for money) and customer satisfaction. There is also a smaller, yet significant correlation between factor 3 (store image) and satisfaction. Both two first factors of customer satisfaction, namely shopping convenience and value for money make a significant contribution in terms of satisfaction.

|              | Factor 1<br>Convenience of<br>shopping | Factor 2<br>Value for money | Factor 3<br>Store image |
|--------------|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Satisfaction | 0,3109                                 | 0,3864                      | 0,2771                  |
|              | <0,0001                                | <0,0001                     | <0,0001                 |

*Table 6. Pearson correlation coefficients for factors of customer satisfaction versus customer satisfaction* Source: own calculation

### 5. Conclusion

The findings reveal the interactive contribution of individual attributes of shopping satisfaction to the overall satisfaction judgement by senior shoppers. Seniors argued that the attribute "affordability" prevents them from patronizing the stores that they are more satisfy with. Despite a dissatisfaction with a store, seniors shop there because of "value for money" aspect in conjunction with convenient location of the store, which evidently negates certain unacceptable attributes. This confirms the initial assumption that consumers criticise a certain attribute of satisfaction for a particular store when this attribute is evaluated in isolation, but that they will nevertheless eventually shop there. Participants tend to look for balance in terms of an "overall - complex shopping experience" with the importance attached to colletive satisfaction of all attributes.

Three factors – namely convenience of shopping, value for money, and store image – can be identified as crucial in terms of customer satisfaction. A significant correlation of value for money and shopping convenience with customer satisfaction indicates the importance of affordability in conjunction with product quality, as well as the need for an offering of additional processes, comfortable environment, well organised store, practical shelf layout, short queues at cashiers etc.

The attributes that are used to describe the factors of customer satisfaction, that is, attributes of shopping convencience, store image and value for money, confirm the importance of the context in a discussion of satisfaction development in slovakian grocery retail and suggest that strategies specifically designed for the grocery retail could be beneficial to enhance customer satisfaction.

### Acknowledgments

The research was conducted within the VEGA Project 1/0224/15 "Consumer behaviour and individual consumption in the periods of unemployment and relative deprivation: implications for decision taking bodies and business".

### References

BUTTLE, F. 2004. Customer Relationship Management: Concepts and Tools. Oxford: Elsevier Ltd.

- CLOPTON, S.W., STODDARD, J.E. & CLAY, J.W. 2001. Salesperson Characteristics Affecting Customer Complaint Responses. *Journal of Consumer Behavior*, Vol. 1 (2), pp. 124-139.
- CRONIN, J.J., BRADY, M.K. & HULT, T.M. 2000. Assessing the Effects of Quality, Value and Customer Satisfaction on Consumer Behavioral Intentions in Service Environments". *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 76 (2), pp. 193-218.
- DENG, Z., LU, Y., WEI, K.K. & ZHANG, J. 2010. Understanding Customers Satisfaction and Loyalty: An empirical study of mobile instant messages. International Journal of Information Management, Vol. 30, pp. 289-300.
- GIESE, J.L. & COTE J., A. 2000. Defining Consumer Satisfaction. *Academy of Marketing Science Review*, Vol 20, No.1, <u>http://www.amsreview.org/articles/giese01-2000.pdf</u>.
- GOODWIN, D. R. & MCELWEE, R. E. 1999. Grocery shopping and an ageing population: research note.

International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 403-409.

- HAYLEY, M. & LUMBERS, M. 2008. Understanding older shoppers: a phenomenological investigation. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 25, No. 5, pp. 294-301.
- HUDDLESTON, P., WHIPPLE, J., MATTICK, R.N. & LEE, S.J. 2009. Customer Satisfaction in Food Retailing: Comparing specialty and conventional grocery stores. International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management, Vol. 37, No. 1, pp. 63-80.
- LAMBERT, Z. (1979). An investigation of older consumers unmet needs and wants at the retail level. *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 55, No. 4, pp. 35-57.
- LESAKOVA, D. 2013. Shopping decisions of senior consumers. In Mendel University Press (Ed.), *Enterprise and competitive environment* (pp. 92-103). Brno, Mendel University Press.
- LEVY, M. & WEITZ, B. 2001. Retailing Management, New York: McGraw-Hill.
- LUMPKIN, J.R., GREENBERG. B.A. & GOLDSTUCKER, J.L. 1985. Marketplace needs of the elderly: determinant attributes and store choice. *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 61, Summer, pp. 75-105.
- MOSCHIS, G.P. 1991. Marketing to older adults: an overview and assessment of present knowledge and practice. *The Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Fall 1991, Vol. 8, No. 4, pp. 33-42.
- MOSCHIS, G. 2003. Marketing to older adults: an updated overview of present knowledge and practice. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 20, No. 6, pp. 516-525.
- NIELSEN, A.C. 2002. What's Hot around the Globe. http://www.acnielsen.com
- OATES, B., SHUFELDT, L. & VAUGHT B. 1996. A psychographic study of the elderly and retail store attributes. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 13, No. 6, pp. 14-27.
- PAYNE, A. 2008. Achieving Excellence in Consumer Management. Oxford: Elsevier Ltd.
- PETERSON, R. & WILSON, W. 1992. Measuring customer satisfaction: fact and artefact. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 20, No. 1, pp. 76-89.
- SEYBOLD, P.B. 2001. Get inside the Lives of your Customers. *Harvard Business Review*, May, pp. 80-90.
- SCHIFFMAN, L. & KANUK, L. 2010. *Customer Behaviour* (10th ed.). . Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson/Prentice Hall.
- THANG, D. & TAN, B. 2002. Linking Consumer Perception to Preference of Retail Stores: An Empirical Assessment of the Multi-Attributes of Store Image. Available at: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/journalofretailingandconsumerservice</u>